

Effects of Rice Mill Wastes on Soil Properties in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, South-Eastern Nigeria

Ifejimalu Augustine Chukwuemeka

Department of Agriculture, Federal University Ndufu – Alike, Ikwo, Ebonyi State. E-mail: <u>austol20@gmail.com</u> +234 706 278 0064

ABSTRACT

To evaluate the impacts of heavy dumping of rice mill waste on soil properties, a research was conducted in 2015 and 2016 in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, South-eastern Nigeria. Samples were collected from soils in Abakaliki rice mill industry. Auger and core samples were collected from Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources Management, FARM (control) and at Abakaliki rice milling industry in hilly terrain at three sites namely: crest (S₁), mid slope (S₂) and lower slope (S₃). The result showed that respective soil bulk density for S₁, S₂ and S₃ were 1.14gcm⁻³, 1.44gcm⁻³ and 1.31gcm⁻³ in 2015 and 1.58gcm⁻³, 1.61gcm⁻³ and 1.50gcm⁻³ in 2016 against control (1.64gcm⁻³ and 1.66gcm⁻³) for the two years. The results indicate that the soil properties studied were higher in rice mill dumpsite except BD than control. Different sampling point in most cases did not vary among themselves. It is recommended that rice mill wastes should be used in farms as soil amendment and generation of farm energy.

Keywords: Heavy dumping, Abakaliki, rice mill waste, soil properties

Introduction

Rice is a major staple food in Nigeria. The rice growing like Ebonyi areas (Abakaliki), Kano and Jigawa States are faced with problems associated with the disposal of the silica rich husk. The rice mill is one of the oldest and largest agro processing industries in Nigeria (Kiattisak and Thanatchai, 2011). Milling is the wherein the rice grain is process transformed into a form suitable for human consumption. It has to be done with utmost care to prevent breakage of the kernel and improve the recovery. Brown rice is milled further to create more visually appealing white rice. After harvesting and drying, the paddy is subjected to the primary milling operation which includes de-husking as well as the removal of bran layers (polishing) before it is consumed. In this process, the rice which is obtained after milling is called raw rice.

The city of Abakaliki reflects typically an agrarian society as majority of the inhabitants are farmers while smaller percentages are businessmen who are nonindigenes. The agrarian nature of the state has made it known popularly as the "Food basket of the nation" (Idike, 1999). The major cash crop planted in Abakaliki is rice which covers about 50% - 60% of the total available agricultural land (Auburn, 2003).

The only well-developed industry in the town to date has been the rice processing industry. The rice mill industry is located along old Abakaliki - Ogoja road on the outskirts of the town and close to the Ebonyi State University. The milling machines are housed in stalls which are arranged in rows. Altogether, there are about six rows of stalls separated by access roads about 10m wide which allows for the convenient transportation of paddy rice from the neighbouring villages and also for the transportation of the milled rice to commercial outlets. There is a mini market at the west end of the rice mill which is largely patronized by the locals who comes from nearby villages as well as causal rice mill workers. About 200m away from the market lays the rice husk waste dumps. The dumps are about 100m in diameter and heaped up to a height of 15m above the ground level. Rice milling in Abakaliki is undertaken in centralized mechanized plants which commonly process about 40 tons of rice per day, thus producing about 10tons of waste per day. Ironically, tons of

85

husks are being openly burned every day despite the feasibility of briquette as an effective and economical management technique. The ash resulting from the burning is also posing serious environmental problems that require separate management strategies. The main problems associated with ash disposal are loss of land, water and air contamination and eventual pollution (Sridhar et al., 2011).

Obi (2000) noted that soil porosity is inversely related to bulk density. Bulk density and total porosity of a soil gives an indication of soil strength and thus the resistance the soil presents to crop root penetration. Anikwe (2000) obtained significant decreases in soil bulk density in plots of farm land amended with 4.5 and 6.0 tha⁻¹ of rice husk dust at 48 days and 60 days after planting. Nnabude and Mbagwu (1998) noted that rice mill wastes applied to the soil, decreased soil bulk density and increased total porosity. Mbagwu (1989) observed that soils differ in their responses to organic waste amendments. Each waste type has a unique property that could be thoroughly investigated. Anikwe Nwobodo and (2002); Mbagwu (1989) and Oguike et al. (2006) showed that relative to the control, rice husk dust alone at 30tha⁻¹ increased soil total porosity, and saturated hydraulic conductivity by 41.1% and 368.2%, and resulted in 20.9% significant decrease in soil bulk density. Rawls *et al.* (1982) compiled values of hydraulic conductivity of 1,323 soils collected from over 32 countries and observed that soils with large pores recorded higher hydraulic conductivity than soils with small pores. Cooper and Warman (1999) observed that plots of farm land with poultry manure amendments recorded higher pH value than those without poultry manure.

Soil nitrogen is absorbed from the soils by plants in the form of N0₃ and NH₄⁺ except in legumes where it may also be absorbed as elemental nitrogen through the help of rhizobia bacteria (Nnoke, 2001). Mineral Colloids and organic matter constitute the soil exchange complex, being negatively charged and retained nutrient cations (Nwinyi, 1997). Organic wastes contribute very significantly to the soil cation. Recent studies had shown that organic waste (i.e. rice mill wastes) increased soil organic matter, nitrogen, pH, phosphorous, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and reduced soil exchangeable acidity (Adenivan and Ojeniyi, 2002; Mbah, 2006; Ayeni et al., 2008; Adeleye et al., 2010).

Materials and Methods Study Area/ Site

The study area is Abakaliki, while the study site is Abakaliki Rice Mill Industry. The area is located in Abakaliki the capital city of Ebonyi State. It is situated in the south eastern part of Nigeria and has a population of about 160 thousand people accounting for about ten percent of the state population (National Population Census, NPC, 2006). Abakaliki rice mill industry is located on latitude $06^0 4^1$ N and longitude 8^0 65¹E. The rice mill industry is located along old Abakaliki- Ogoja road on the outskirts of the town and close to the Ebonyi State University. It covers approximately an area of about 500m². Abakaliki, one of the thirteen Local Government Area in Ebonyi State, was made the state capital in 1996 (Echiegu, 2007). The rainfall pattern is bimodal (April – July and September – November), with a short dry spell in August normally referred to as "August break". The total annual rainfall in the area ranges from 1500 - 2000mm, with a mean of 1800mm. At the onset of rainfall, it is torrential and violent sometimes lasting for one to two hours (Okonkwo and Ogu, 2002). The area is characterized by high temperatures with minimum mean daily temperature of 31°C the throughout year. According to Overseas Development of Natural Resources (ODNRI, 1989), humidity is

high (80%). The lowest (60%) level occurs during the dry season between December and April, before the rainy season begins. Geologically, the area is underlain with sedimentary rocks derived from successive marine deposits of the cretaceous and tertiary periods. The soil belongs to the order ultisol derived from shale and classified as typic haplustult. According to the Federal Department of Agriculture and Resources (FDALR, Land 1985), Abakaliki agricultural zone lies within "Asu River group" and consists of olive brown sandy shale, fine-grained sandstone and mud stones. These conditions favour rice cultivation.

Soil sampling

Core and auger samples were collected at 0 - 25cm uniform soil depth in the study site as follows:

Control	- Faculty	of Agr	iculture,	EBSU
---------	-----------	--------	-----------	------

Sample S ₁	_	Crest
Sample S ₂	_	Mid slope
Sample S ₃	_	Lower slope

Core samples collected from the various site were used to determine soil physical properties, while auger (100g) samples were air-dried at room temperature (about 26°C) and passed through a 2mm sieve for the determination of soil chemical properties.

Sample Analysis Soil analysis

Bulk density (Db): Dry bulk density was determined as described by Blake and Hartage (1986). It was calculated as shown in the formular below:

Bulk density (Db) = Weight of Oven Dried soilVolume of undisturbed soil - - - (1)

Total porosity: The method as described by Carter and Ball (1993) was used to measure total porosity and air-filled (macro) and water (micro) porosity. Total Porosity was derived from measurement of dry bulk density (Db) and soil particle density (Ps) assumed to be 2.65 Mgm⁻³

Thus, S _t	=	[1-Db/Ps] x 100/1 (2)
Where: Db	=	Dry Bulk Density	
Ps	=	Soil Particle Density	

Hydraulic conductivity: This was determined as described by Landon (1991). A core containing soil sample was tied to one end with a calico cloth to avoid soil wash and the sample was stood vertically in a basin with the end bearing the cloth downwards. Water was poured into the basin to reach half of the length of the core and allowed to stand for 24 hours. At the end of the 24 hours, the sample was removed and carefully connected to the empty core of the same width using cellotape to avoid water leakage. The height of 2.5cm was marked within the empty space in the core. The sample was clamped to a burette stand and an empty funnel was used to collect the water leachate. Measuring cylinder was connected under the funnel to measure the volume of water collected. In the attached core, the water was filled to 2.5cm mark height, and this height was maintained constantly for 5 minutes. Thus:

K	=	Q/At x L/ΔH	-(3)
Where:K	=	Hydraulic Conductivity	
Q	=	Quantity of water being collected constantly	
А	=	Area of the core containing soil sample	
t	=	Time interval of collection	
L	=	Length of the core containing core sample	
ΔH	=	Constant water level being maintained.	

Soil pH: The pH of the soil was determined using glass electrode pH meter in soil/water, in the ratio of 1:2.5. After stirring for 30 minutes, the pH values were read off (Peech, 1995).

Available phosphorus: This was determined by the Bray method as described by Page et al. (1982). The available phosphorus was read off from the standard curve obtained from optical density using colorimeter.

Total nitrogen: This was determined using the micro kjeldhal distillation method Bremner (1996). The ammonia from digestion was distilled with 45% into 2.5%boric NaOH acid and determined by titrating with 0.05N KCl.

Organic carbon: This was determined using the method described by Nelson and Sommers (1982).

Organic matter: The percentage organic matter was calculated by multiplying the value for organic carbon by a factor of 1.724, which is based on the assumption that soil organic matter (SOM) contains 58% C (Allison, 1982).

Exchangeable bases: Calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) were determined by titration method (Mba, 2004). Sodium (Na) and Potassium (K) were extracted with 1N ammonium acetate solution

(NH₄OAC), and determined using flame photometer.

Total exchangeable acidity: The titrimetric method using 1NKCl extract of Mclean (1982) was used in the determination of total exchangeable acidity (Al $^{3+}$ and H⁺).

Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC): This was evaluated by the summation method as follows:

Where; ECEC = Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (cmol kg⁻¹ soil)

TEB = Total Exchangeable Bases (cmol kg $^{-1}$ soil)

TEA = Total Exchangeable Acidity (cmol kg⁻¹ soil)

Base saturation (BS): Base Saturation (BS) was calculated by dividing Total Exchangeable Bases (TEB) with Cation Exchangeable Capacity value and multiplying by 100. The expression is thus:

C	% B.S.	=	<u>TEB</u> x 100	-	-	-	-	-	-(5)
			CEC						
Where:	BS	=	Base Saturati	on					
	TEB	=	Total Exchan	geable H	Bases (o	emol kg	⁻¹ soil)		
	CEC	=	Cation Excha	nge Cap	acity.				

Data Analysis

Results

The data collected were analyzed using standard error and coefficient of variation (CV %) as recommended by Steel and Torrie (1980).

a. Impact of heavy dumping of rice mill wastes on bulk density, total porosity and hydraulic conductivity of soil

Result of the effect of rice milling activities on bulk density, total porosity

and hydraulic conductivity of soil is presented in Table 1. Soil bulk density (Db) was 1.64 g cm⁻³ and 1.66 g cm⁻³ in control area (FAC, EBSU) and higher than S₁ (Crest), S₂ (Mid slope) and S₃ (Lower slope) which had 1.14gcm⁻³, 1.44gcm⁻³ and 1.31gcm⁻³ respectively in 2015 and 1.58gcm⁻³, 1.61gcm⁻³ and 1.50gcm⁻³ respectively in 2016. In 2015, control area increased in soil bulk density relative to S_1 , S_2 and S_3 by 30, 12 and 20% respectively; while S₁ decreased relative to control, S_2 and S_3 by 44, 26 and 15% respectively. In 2016, soil bulk density (Db) was high in control area relative to S₁, S₂ and S₃ by 5, 3 and 10% respectively, while S_3 decreased by 11, 5 and 7% relative to control, S_1 and S_3 . The result showed that the different sampling points did not vary in 2015 (CV = 15.22%) and 2016 (CV = 4.40%).

Soil total porosity in S_1 (Crest) recorded the highest value of 56.98% relative to control (FAC, EBSU), S_2 (Mid slope) and S_3 (Lower slope) which had 38.11%, 45.66% and 50.57% respectively in 2015; while in 2016, S₃ recorded the highest value of 43.40% relative to control, S₁ and S₂ which had 37.36%, 40.38% and 39.25% respectively. Total porosity for S₁, S₂ and S₃ in 2015 was higher than control by 50, 20 and 33% respectively. In 2016, soil total porosity for S₁, S₂ and S₃ increased relative to control by 8, 5 and 16% respectively. There was no variation in soil total porosity among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 16.7%) and in 2016 (CV = 6.31%).

Hydraulic conductivity was higher in S_1 (Crest) relative to control (FAC, EBSU), S_2 (Mid slope) and S_3 (Lower slope) in 2015 and 2016 with the values of 0.33cmhr⁻¹ and 0.31cmhr⁻¹ respectively. In 2015, the order of decrease in soil hydraulic conductivity was control $< S_2 <$ $S_3 < S_1$. In 2016, it increased as follows: $S_1 > S_3 > S_2 >$ control. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 18.44%). There was variation among different sampling points in 2016 (CV= 52.68%).

Sample	2015			2016			
	Bulk Density (gcm ⁻³)	Total Porosity (%)	Hydraulic Conductivity (cmhr ⁻¹)	Bulk Density (gcm ⁻³)	Total Porosity (%)	Hydraulic Conductivity (cmhr ⁻¹)	
Control	1.64 ± 0.15	38.11 ± 5.61	0.10 ± 0.06	1.66 ± 0.04	37.36 ± 1.58	0.09 ± 0.06	
\mathbf{S}_1	1.14 ± 0.14	56.98 ± 5.28	0.33 ± 0.07	1.58 ± 0.01	40.38 ± 0.16	0.31 ± 0.07	
S_2	1.44 ± 0.04	45.66 ± 1.25	0.15 ± 0.03	1.61 ± 0.01	39.25 ± 0.49	0.13 ± 0.03	
S_3	1.31 ± 0.04	50.57 ± 1.58	0.27 ± 0.03	1.50 ± 0.05	43.40 ± 1.91	0.23 ± 0.2	
CV (%)	15.22	16.70	18.44	4.40	6.31	52.63	

 Table 1: Impact of Heavy Dumping of Rice Mill Wastes on Bulk Density, Total

 Porosity and Hydraulic Conductivity of Soil

Note: Control = Faculty of Agriculture, EBSU; S_1 = Crest; S_2 = Mid slope; S_3 = Lower slope **Source**: Field Work (2015 and 2016).

b. Impact of Heavy Dumping of rice mill Wastes on pH, available phosphorus and total nitrogen of soil

The result of the impact of rice mill wastes on pH, available phosphorus and total porosity of soil is presented in Table 2. Result showed that S_1 recorded 6.98 of soil pH which was the highest value relative to control (5.62), S_2 (6.04) and S_3 (6.32) in 2015. Control was lower than S_1 , S_2 and S_3 by 24, 7 and 12% respectively in 2015. S_1 (6.98) recorded the highest value in soil pH relative to control (5.96), S_2 (6.10) and S_3 (6.40) respectively in 2016. Control was lower than S_1 , S_2 and S_3 by 17, 2 and 7% respectively in 2016. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 9.15%) and 2016 (CV = 7.08%).

Sample		2015			2016	
	pH (H2O)	Available Phosphorus (mgkg ⁻¹)	Total Nitrogen (gkg ⁻¹)	pH (H2O)	Available Phosphorus (mgkg ⁻¹)	Total Nitrogen (gkg ⁻¹)
Control	5.62 ± 0.36	18.60 ± 9.62	0.60 ± 0.39	5.96 ± 0.23	19.07 ± 10.59	0.90 ± 0.45
\mathbf{S}_1	6.98 ± 0.43	54.96 ± 11.37	2.80 ± 0.88	6.98 ± 0.36	56.08 ± 10.77	3.00 ± 0.76
S_2	6.04 ± 0.12	19.00 ± 9.39	0.70 ± 0.33	6.10 ± 0.15	21.02 ± 9.47	1.40 ± 0.16
S_3	6.32 ± 0.05	48.50 ± 7.64	1.00 ± 0.16	6.40 ± 0.02	53.50 ± 9.28	1.40 ± 0.16
CV (%)	9.15	54.42	80.47	7.08	53.71	54.76

 Table 2: Impact of Heavy Dumping of Rice Mill Wastes on pH, Available Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen Content of Soil

Note: Control = Faculty of Agriculture, EBSU; S_1 = Crest; S_2 = Mid slope; S_3 = Lower slope **Source**: Field Work (2015 and 2016).

There was higher value of soil available phosphorus recorded in S₁ (54.96mgkg⁻¹) relative to control (18.60mgkg⁻¹), S₂ (19.0mgkg^{-1}) and S₃ (48.50mgkg^{-1}) in 2015. Similarly, S_1 (56.08mgkg^{-1}) recorded higher value than control (19.07mgkg⁻¹), S₂ (21.02mgkg⁻¹) and S₃ (53.50mgkg⁻¹) in 2016. Soil available phosphorus for S₁, S₂ and S₃ was higher than control by 195, 2 and 161% respectively in 2015; while in 2016 S₁, S₂ and S₃ increased relative to control by 194, 10 and 181% respectively. There was variation among different sampling points 2015 (CV = 54.42%) and 2016 (CV =53.71%).

Total nitrogen in S_1 recorded 2.80gkg⁻¹ which was higher than control (0.60gkg⁻³), S_2 (0.70gkg⁻¹) and S_3 (1.0gkg⁻¹) in 2015. S_1 (3.0gkg⁻¹) again, recorded the highest value compared to control (0.90gkg⁻¹), S_2 (1.40gkg⁻¹) and S_3 (1.40gkg⁻¹) and S_3 (1.40gkg⁻¹) in 2016. In 2015, the order of increase in soil total nitrogen was $S_1 > S_3 >$ S_2 > control; while in 2016, control was lower than S_1 , S_2 and S_3 by 233, 56 and 56% respectively. There was variation among different sampling points for soil total nitrogen in 2015 (CV = 80.47%) and 2016 (CV = 54.76%).

c. Impact of Heavy Dumping of rice mill Wastes on Organic carbon, C/N ratio and Organic matter content of soil

Table 3 showed that soil organic carbon was higher in Crest (30.30gkg⁻¹) compared to control (5.10gkg⁻¹), mid slope (17.0gkg⁻¹) and lower slope (22.20gkg⁻¹) in 2015. In 2016, soil organic carbon was higher in S₁ (30.50gkg⁻¹) compared to control (5.10gkg⁻¹), S₂ (18.30gkg⁻¹) and S₃ (25.20gkg⁻¹). In 2015, control was lower than S₁, S₂ and S₃ by 494, 233 and 335% respectively. In 2016, control was lower than S₁, S₂ and S₃ by 498, 259 and 394% respectively. Soil organic carbon levels were variable among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 56.64%) and 2016 (CV = 55.56%).

Sample		2015			2016	
	Organic Carbon	C/N Ratio	Organic Matter	Organic Carbon	C/N Ratio	Organic Matter
	(gkg-1)		(gkg ⁻¹)	(gkg ⁻¹)		(gkg-1)
Control	5.10 ± 7.82	8.50 ± 4.66	8.79 ± 15.83	5.10 ± 8.48	5.67 ± 3.50	8.79 ± 16.65
\mathbf{S}_1	30.30 ± 6.73	10.82 ± 3.32	52.20 ± 9.24	30.50 ± 6.19	10.17 ± 0.90	53.00 ± 8.87
S_2	17.00 ± 0.95	24.29 ± 4.46	29.30 ± 3.98	18.30 ± 0.85	13.07 ± 0.77	32.50 ± 2.96
S_3	22.20 ± 2.05	22.65 ± 3.51	38.30 ± 1.21	25.20 ± 3.13	18.0 ± 3.62	40.00 ± 1.37
CV(%)	56.64	48.63	33.20	55.56	44.08	31.73

Table 3:Impact of High Dumping of Rice Mill Wastes on Organic Carbon, C/N Ratio and Organic Matter Content of Soil

Note: Control = Faculty of Agriculture, EBSU; S_1 = Crest; S_2 = Mid slope; S_3 = Lower slope

Source: Field Work (2015 and 2016).

C/N ratio was high in S₂ (24.29) relative to control (8.50), S₁ (10.82) and S₃ (22.65) in 2015. Control was lower than S₁, S₂ and S₃ by 27, 186 and 166% respectively in 2015. High C/N ratio was obtained in S_3 (18.0) compared to control (5.67), S_1 (10.17) and S_2 (13.07) in 2016. S_3 was higher than control, S_1 and S_2 by 69, 44 and 27% in 2016. Control recorded the least values of 8.50 and 5.67 in 2015 and 2016 respectively. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV= 48.63%) and 2016 (CV= 44.08%). Soil organic matter was higher in S₁ (52.20gkg⁻¹) compared to control (25.0gkg⁻¹) ¹), S₂ (29.30gkg⁻¹) and S₃ (38.30gkg⁻¹) in 2015. Again in 2016, S₁ (53.0gkg⁻¹) recorded the highest value relative to control (25.0gkg⁻¹), S₂ (32.50gkg⁻¹) and S₃ (40.0gkg⁻¹). Soil organic matter content decreased as follows: control $< S_2 < S_3 <$ S_1 in 2015; while in 2016, the order of increase was $S_1 > S_3 > S_2 >$ control. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 33.20%) and 2016 (CV = 31.73%).

d. Impact of Heavy Dumping of rice mill Wastes on soil exchangeable bases

Calcium (Table 4) was higher in S_1 (13.60cmol (+) kg⁻¹) compared to control (5.60cmol (+) kg⁻¹), S₂ (12.00cmol (+) kg⁻¹ ¹) and S_3 (9.0cmol(+) kg⁻¹) in 2015. Control was lower than S₁, S₂ and S₃ by 143, 114 and 61% respectively in 2015. S₁ (14.60cmol (+) kg⁻¹) also recorded a high value than control (5.60cmol (+) kg⁻¹), S_2 $(12.0 \text{ cmol} (+) \text{ kg}^{-1})$ and S₃ (11.50 cmol (+)kg⁻¹) in 2016. S_1 , S_2 and S_3 were higher than control by 161, 114 and 105% respectively in 2016. There was variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV=35.63%) and 2016 (CV = 34.77%). Magnesium (Mg²⁺) was higher in S_1 (7.40cmol (+) kg⁻¹) in 2012; and in 2013

 $(7.40 \text{ cmol } (+) \text{ kg}^{-1})$ relative to control, S_2 and S_3 . In 2015, the order of decrease was control $< S_3 < S_2 < S_1$. In 2016, control area (3.20cmol (+) kg⁻¹) was lower than S_1 , S_2 and S_3 by 131, 38 and 109% respectively.

Table 4: Impact Heavy Dumping of Rice Mill Wastes on Soil Exchangeable Bases (cmol (+) kg⁻¹)

Sample	2015					2016		
	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	\mathbf{K}^{+}	Na ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	K ⁺	Na ⁺
Control	5.60 ± 2.57	3.20 ± 0.89	0.10 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.04	5.60 ± 3.08	3.20 ± 1.29	1.30 ± 0.32	0.10 ± 0.04
\mathbf{S}_1	13.60 ± 2.05	7.40 ± 1.53	0.10 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.00	14.60 ± 2.12	7.40 ± 1.14	1.00 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 5.77
S_2	12.00 ± 1.13	4.40 ± 0.20	0.20 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.01	12.00 ± 0.62	4.40 ± 0.59	0.40 ± 0.20	0.20 ± 0.02
S_3	9.00 ± 0.64	4.00 ± 0.43	0.10 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.02	11.50 ± 0.33	6.70 ± 0.73	0.30 ± 0.26	0.20 ± 0.02
CV(%)	56.91	38.59	34.40	27.95	34.77	36.10	64.00	29.41

Note: Control = Faculty of Agriculture, EBSU; S_1 = Crest; S_2 = Mid slope; S_3 = Lower Slope **Source:** Field Work (2015 and 2016).

There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV= 38.59%) and 2016 (CV = 36.10%).

Potassium (K⁺) was higher in S₂ (0.20cmol (+) kg⁻¹) in 2015 while in 2016, control was highest with 1.30cmol (+) kg⁻¹. S₂ was higher than control, S₁ and S₃ in 2015 by 50, 50 and 50% respectively; while in 2016, the order of increase was control > S₁ > S₂ > S₃. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 34.40%). There was variation among different sampling points in 2016 (CV = 64.0%).

Sodium (Na⁺) recorded significantly higher value in S₃ (0.19cmol (+) kg⁻¹) relative to control (0.09 cmol (+) kg⁻¹), S₁ (0.16cmol (+) kg⁻¹) and S₂ (0.18cmol (+) kg⁻¹) in 2015. S₃ was higher than control, S₁ and S₂ by 53, 16 and 5% respectively. But in 2016, S₂ (0.20cmol (+) kg⁻¹) and S₃ (0.20cmol (+) kg⁻¹) recorded the highest values in Na⁺ compared to control area (0.10cmol (+) kg⁻¹) and S₁ (0.16cmol (+) kg⁻¹). There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV= 27.95%) and 2016 (CV = 29.41%).

e. Impact of Heavy Dumping of rice mill Wastes on exchangeable acidity (EA), effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) and base saturation (BS) of soil

The result (Table 5) showed that there was higher value of EA in control (1.90cmolkg¹) relative to S₁ (0.70cmolkg⁻¹), S₂ (0.80cmolkg⁻¹) and S₃ (1.60cmolkg⁻¹) in 2015. Control (2.0cmolkg⁻¹) was higher than S₁ (0.90cmolkg⁻¹), S₂ (1.80cmolkg⁻¹) and S₃ (1.60cmolkg⁻¹) in 2016. The order of increase in 2016 was control > S₂ >S₁ >S₃. In 2016, control was higher than S₁, S₂ and S₃ by 55, 10 and 20% respectively. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 47.33%) and 2016 (CV = 30.38%).

Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) was higher in S_1 (21.97cmolkg⁻¹) relative to control area (4.85cmolkg⁻¹), S₂ $(17.78 \text{ cmolkg}^{-1})$ and S₃ $(14.95 \text{ cmolkg}^{-1})$ in 2015. Again in 2016, S₁ (6.69cmolkg⁻¹) was also higher compared to control $(4.55 \text{ cmolkg}^{-1})$, S₂ (6.05 cmolkg⁻¹) and S₃ $(6.28 \text{ cmolkg}^{-1})$. In 2015, control was lower than S_1 , S_2 and S_3 by 353, 267 and

208% respectively. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV = 48.93%) and 2016 (CV = 15.79%). Base saturation was 97.0%, 95% and 89% in 2015 and 86.55%, 70.25% and 74.44% in 2016 for S_1 , S_2 and S_3 respectively. These were higher than control for the two years (63% and 56.04%) respectively. The order of decrease in 2015 was control < $S_3 < S_2 < S_1$; while in 2016, base saturation increased as follows: $S_1 > S_3 > S_2 >$ control. There was no variation among different sampling points in 2015 (CV= 18.26%) and 2016 (CV = 17.53%).

	Effective Ca	tion Exchang	ge Capacity (E	CEC) and Ba	se Saturation	n (BS) of Soil
Sample		2015			2016	
	EA	ECEC	BS	EA	ECEC	BS
	(cmolkg ⁻¹)	(cmolkg ⁻¹)	(%)	(cmolkg ⁻¹)	(cmolkg ⁻¹)	(%)
Control	1.90 ± 0.38	4.85 ± 5.80	63.00 ± 13.28	2.00 ± 0.24	4.55 ± 0.77	56.04 ± 9.11

 0.90 ± 0.39

 1.80 ± 0.13

 1.60 ± 0.01

30.38

Table 5: Impact of Heavy Dumping of Rice Mill Wastes on Exchangeable Acidity (EA),

Note: Control = Faculty of Agriculture, EBSU; S_1 = Crest; S_2 = Mid slope; S_3 = Lower slope Source: Field Work (2015 and 2016).

 97.00 ± 6.35

 95.00 ± 5.20

 89.00 ± 1.73

18.26

Discussion

 0.70 ± 0.32

 0.80 ± 0.26

 1.60 ± 0.20

47.20

 21.97 ± 4.09

 17.78 ± 1.67

 14.95 ± 0.03

48.93

 S_1

 S_2

 S_3

CV (%)

Mbah and Nwite (2008), observed that management practices such as, continuous tillage and removing or burning residues increased the bulk density, while such practices as addition of organic wastes or amendments, decreased soil bulk density. According to Obi (2000), bulk density and

total porosity of a soil gives an indication of soil strength, and thus the resistance the soil presents to crop root penetration. Soil porosity is inversely related to bulk density. Result (Table 1) showed that soil bulk density was higher in control (FAC, EBSU) relative to the rice mill site on Crest (S_1) , Mid slope (S_2) and Lower slope

 6.69 ± 0.46

 6.05 ± 0.09

 6.28 ± 0.23

15.79

 86.55 ± 8.50

 70.25 ± 0.91

 74.44 ± 1.51

17.53

 (S_3) in 2015 and 2016. The value ranged from 1.14gcm⁻³ to 1.44gcm⁻³ in 2015, and 1.50gcm⁻³ to 1.61gcm⁻³ in 2016. Low soil bulk density values observed in S1, S2 and S₃ might be as a result of dumping activities in the site which had reduced bulk volume of soil. The presence of rice husk dust rich in organic matter (OM) reduces soil compaction (Anikwe and Nwobodo, 2002). Soil total porosity followed a similar trend as soil bulk density but in reverse order as shown in Table 1. As soil bulk density increased, soil total porosity decreased. Mbagwu (1989) observed that, organic wastes in soils, reduced soil bulk density and increased soil total porosity. According to Nnabude and Mbagwu (1998), low bulk density and higher total porosity increased water transmissivity, root penetration, and hence cumulative feeding area of crops which would translate to better yield. Results of the study (Table 1) also showed higher values of saturated hydraulic conductivity in S₁, S₂ and S₃ relative to control in 2015 and 2016. According to Rawls et al. (1982), soils with large pores recorded higher hydraulic conductivity than soils with small pores. Higher saturated hydraulic conductivity means better water transmission and hence reduction in water logging (Anikwe, 2000).

96

As shown in Table 2, rice milling activities increased soil pH of the rice mill site $(S_1,$ S_2 and S_3) relative to control. This increase in soil pH could be attributed to the effect of the milling activities and the rice mill soil waste on chemical properties. According to Cooper and Warman (1999), higher pH in soil might partly be due to the calcium supplied to the soil by organic wastes. Oguike et al. (2006) observed that the presence of organic matter in soils influenced their pH value. Higher values of soil available phosphorus (Table 2) were obtained in S_1 , S_2 and S_3 relative to control. The pH of the soil (Table 2) influenced the level of availability of phosphorus since the availability of phosphorus and its solubility is pH dependent. Available phosphorus was relatively higher on the Crest (S_1) in rice mill site followed by S₃ and S₂ relative to control in 2015 and 2016. This could be attributed to high amount of rice husk dust from the rice mill industry. Mbagwu (1992) observed that the presence of rice husk dust in soil increased soil available phosphorus. Soil total nitrogen was higher in S_1 relative to control, S_2 and S_3 . Furthermore, higher values of soil organic carbon (SOC), C/N ratio and organic matter (OM) were obtained in S_1 , S_2 and S₃ relative to control (Table 3).

Result of the study (Table 4) showed higher values of soil exchangeable bases $(Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, K^+ \text{ and } Na^+)$ in S₁, S₂ and S₃ relative to control. This higher value showed that the rice mill waste increased the levels of soil exchangeable bases of the industrial site. According to Nwinyi (1997), organic wastes serves as a nutrient store from which basic cations are slowly released and constitute the soil exchange complex, being negatively charged and retained nutrient cations.

Table 5 showed that rice milling activities increased effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) and base saturation (BS), but decreased exchangeable acidity (EA) relative to control. Adeleye et al. (2010) observed that rice mill waste increased soil total exchangeable bases and lowered exchangeable acidity. Adeniyan and Ojeniyi (2003); Mbah (2006); Ayeni et al. (2008) and Adeleye et al. (2010) also reported that rice mill wastes increased soil organic matter, total nitrogen, pH, soil available phosphorus, ECEC, BS and reduced soil exchangeable acidity.

Conclusion

The results indicates that the soil properties studied, were higher in rice mill dumpsite except BD than control. Different sampling point in most cases did not vary among themselves. Rice mill waste should be used in farms as soil amendments, as well as biomass to generate energy and briquette. This will help to reduce the mountainous heaping of these wastes.

References

- Adeleye, E. O.; Ayeni, L. S. and Ojeniyi,
 S. O. (2010). Effect of Poultry Manure on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties, Leaf Nutrient Contents and Yield of Yam (Dioscorea rotundata) on Alfisol in Southwestern Nigeria. Journal of American Science 6(10), 956 - 959.
- Adeniyan, O. N. and Ojeniyi, S. O. (2003).
 Comparative Effectiveness of Different Levels of Poultry Manure with NPK fertilizer on Residual Soil Fertility, Nutrient Uptake and Yield of Maize. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 2:191-197.
- Allison, F. E. (1982).Organic Matter. In: Black, C.A. (ed). Method of Soil Analysis. Part II, American Soc. Agron. 9: 1367 – 1378.
- Anikwe, M. A. (2000). Amelioration of a Heavy Clay Loam Soil with Rice Husk Dust and its Effects on Soil Physical Properties and Maize Yield; *Bioresources Technology*, *Elsevier 74*,167-173.
- Anikwe, M. A. N. and Nwobodo, K. C. A. (2002). Long Term Effect of Municipal Waste Disposal on Soil Properties and Productivity in Sites Used for Urban Agriculture in Abakaliki, Nigeria. *Bioresource Technology 83:* 241-250.
- Auburn, A. R. (2003). Natural Resources Conservation Services, Urban Technical Report 3, 1-3.
- Ayeni, L. S.; Adetunji, M. T. and Ojeniyi, S. O. (2008). Comparative Nutrient Release from Cocoa Pod Ash, Poultry Manure, and NPK 20:10:10

Fertilizer and their Nutrient Combinations-Incubation Study. *Nigeria Journal of Soil Science 18*, 114 - 123.

- Blake, G. R and Hartage, K. H. (1986). Bulk Density In: klute A. (Ed). Methods of Soil Analysis Part 1; *American Society of Agronomy No.9,Madison, Wisconsin,* 365-375.
- Bremner, J. M. (1996). Nitrogen Total. In: Sparks, D.L. (ed) Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3, Chemical Methods. American Soc. Agron. 5: 1085 – 1121.
- Carter, M. R. and Ball, M. C. (1993). Soil Porosity. In: Soil Sampling and Methods of Soil Analysis. Carter, M.R. (ed.), *Canadian Society of Soil Science, Lewis Publ., Boca. Raton,* U.S.A., 18 - 20.
- Cooper, J. M. and Warman, P. R. (1999). Effect of three Fertility Amendments on Soil Dehydrogenase Activity, Organic Carbon and pH. *Canadian Journal* of Soil Science 77: 281-283.
- Echiegu, P.U. (2007). Urbanization and its Physical Planning Challenges in Abakaliki Capital Environment, Ebonyi State of Nigeria: JSAF, Vol. 7, No. I, 49 – 56.
- Federal Dept. of Agriculture and Land Resources (FDALER). (1985). Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Anambra State, Nigeria. Soil Report, Kaduna.
- Idike, A. A. (1999). "Know Ebonyi State: A History of the People and the Land". 10 - 13.
- Kiattisak Batsungrieori and Thanatchai Kulworawanichpong (2011). Effect of Dust Particles in Local Rice Mills on Human respiratory system. *World academy of science, Engineering and Technology, (56),* 260 – 265.
- Landon, J. R. (1991). Booker Tropical Soil Survey and Agric Land Graduation

in the Tropics and Sub-Tropics, Book Tate, New York. 480.

- Mba, C. C. (2004). Selected Methods for Soil, Plant and Environment Analysis. *Department of Soil Science Handbook*, Department of Soil Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
- Mbagwu, J. S. C. (1989). Effect of Organic Amendment on Some Physical Properties of Tropical Ultisol. *Bio. Waste 27:* 1 - 13.
- Mbagwu, J. S. C. (1992). Improving the Productivity of a Degraded Ultisol in Nigeria using Organic and Inorganic Amendments, part 1: Chemical Properties and Maize Yield. *Bio-resource Tech .1 42:* 149 – 154.
- Mbah, C. N. (2006). Influence of Organic Wastes on Plants Growth Parameters and Nutrient Uptakes by Maize (*Zea mays L.*); Nigeria *Journal of Soil Science: 16*, 104 -108.
- Mbah, C. N. and Nwite, J. N. (2008). Introductory Soil Pedology and Physics. Snaap Press Ltd, Enugu, 29.
- Mclean, E. O. (1982). Soil pH and Lime Requirements. In: page A.L. (eds.) Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Chemical and Microbial Properties. 2nd ed. Agronomy Series No. 9 Madison, W1, USA, ASA, SSSA, 19-20.
- National Population Census (NPC)(2006). Final Results of 2006 Population Census of Ebonyi State, Nigeria, National Population Commission.
- Nelson, D. W. and Sommers, L. E. (1982). Total Carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter. In Page, A.L. (Ed). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties, 2nd ed. Agronomy Series No.9, Madson, WI, USA, ASA, SSSA, 43-45.
- Nnabude, P. C. and Mbagwu, J. S. C. (1998), Soil Water Retention of

Nigeria Typic Haplustlt Amended with Fresh and Burnt Rice Mill Wastes; *Soil Tillage Resource, 50:* 207-214.

- Nnoke, F.N. (2001). Essentials of Pedology and Edaphology; Fedico Publisher, Abakaliki, 24 - 30.
- Nwinyi, S. C. O. (1997). The Contribution of Organic Matter to Carbon Exchange Capacity of Eastern Nigerian Soil. *African Soil* 47 - 58.
- Obi, M. E. (2000) Soil Physics: A Compendium of Lectures on Soil Physics. Atlanta Publishers, Nsukka, Nigeria.
- Oguike, P. C.; Chukwu, G. O. and Njoku, N. C. (2006). Physico – Chemical Properties of a Haplic Acisol in Southeastern Nigeria Amended with Rice Mill Waste and NPK fertilizer. *African Journal of Biotechnology, 5:* 1058 – 1061.
- Okonkwo, C. I. and Ogu, I. (2002).
 Assessment of the potentials of *Giricidum Sepium and Panicum Maximum* Biomass used as green Manure in Soil Nutrient Improvement and Yield of Maize; *Journal of Arid Agriculture, 12:* 51 56.
- Overseas Development of Natural Resources Institute (ODNRI)

(1989). Nigeria Profile of Agricultural Potential, ODA, United Kingdom, 40- 50.

- Oyetola, E. B., and Abdullahi, M. (2006). The Use of Rice Husk Ash in Low – cost Sandcrete Block Production, Leonardo Electronic; *Journal of Practices and Technologies, 8, 58* – 70.
- Page, A. L., Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D. R, (eds.) (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. American Soc. Agron. Madison, 579.
- Peech, M. (1995). Hydrogen Ion Acidity. In: Black, C.A. (ed.). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy, 9: 914 – 926.
- Rawls, W. J., Brakensick, D. L. and Saxtion, K. E. (1982). Estimation of Soil Water Properties. *Trans ASAE 25*:1316 - 1328.
- Sridhar, M. K. C.; Akanmu, I. Y. and Udensi, U. K. (2011). Recycling of Rice Mill Wastes in Nigeria. *Journal of Agronomy 2 (4), 30 - 38.*
- Steel, G. D. and Torrie, J. H. (1980). Procedures of Statistics: A Biometric Approach. 2nd ed,, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.