

## **Condition Factor and Hepatosomatic Index of *Ptychadena mascariensis* Exposed to Crude Oil**

**Nafagha-Lawal, Magdalene Okeh<sup>1</sup>; Sikoki, Francis David<sup>2</sup> and Georgewill, Onwunari Abraham<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>(Center for Marine Pollution Monitoring and Seafood Safety, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria)

<sup>2</sup>(Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria)

<sup>3</sup>(Department of Pharmacology, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria)

---

### **Abstract:**

Amphibian population declines have been reported and many causes have been implicated which include habitat alteration, environmental change and pollution. Unfortunately, not much is known of the effects of crude oil pollution on the species. This study therefore investigated the haematological changes associated with exposure of the frog, *Ptychadena mascariensis* to sub-lethal concentrations of the water-soluble fractions of crude oil using static renewal bioassay system for 12 weeks. Water parameters were also monitored throughout the duration of the experiment. Water quality parameters remained within the ranges for water bodies in the Niger Delta with no significant differences between the control and treatment groups. The results revealed that crude oil had a negative impact on the frogs and showed dose-dependent reductions in K and HSI values. However, the values of K were not significantly reduced in the test groups compared to the control groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). HSI values were significantly reduced in the test groups compared to the control groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Given the frequent cases of oil spills in the Niger Delta which expose frogs to persistent levels of crude oil in the environment, there are possible long-term effects on the physiological well-being of the frogs as demonstrated by the results of this study.

### **Introduction**

Oil pollution, one of the environmental consequences of crude oil exploration and exploitation activities produces aqua-toxicological effects, which are deleterious to aquatic life (Kori-Siakpere, 2000). A variety of pollutants including crude oil

and its products are known to induce stress conditions, which impair the health of fish (FEPA, 1999). Ekweozor, (1989) reported that frequent spillages of crude oil and its products in creeks and rivers of the Niger Delta have resulted in a marked reduction in the number of both freshwater and marine creatures. Amphibian populations

are in decline in many areas of the world. Numerous physical and chemical causes have been postulated and, in some instances, interaction of multiple causes has been implicated. They include habitat alteration and habitat destruction (Nafagha, 1999) predation (Sessions and Ruth, 1990), competition from exotic non-indigenous species (Jennings and Hayes, 1985) parasites (Carey and Bryant, 2003), disease (Lefcort and Blaustein, 1995), ultraviolet radiation (Blaustein *et al.*, 2003), climate change (Corn *et al.*, 1989) and environmental contamination (Nafagha, 2007).

The condition of the liver and of the whole body, as measured with the hepatosomatic index (HSI) and condition factor (K) can provide information on potential pollution impacts. In fisheries science, the condition factor is used to evaluate the “condition”, “fatness” or wellbeing of fish. Condition factor compares the wellbeing of a fish and is based on the hypothesis that heavier fish of a given length are in better condition (Bagenal and Tesch, 1978). A high condition factor reflects good environmental quality. Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) is defined as the ratio of liver weight to body weight. It provides an indication on status of energy reserve in an animal. In a poor environment, fish usually

have a smaller liver (with less energy reserved in the liver).

Nebeker *et al.*, (1995) reported that amphibians are being used as bio-indicators of contaminants in fresh water. Amphibians, especially some particular frogs, are increasingly used as bioindicators of accumulation of pollutants (Loumbourdis *et al.*, 2002). They are near the top of the food chain and invertebrates, which are their main food source, are an important pathway through which pollutants can enter the body of amphibians. Amphibians typically absorb water through the ventral skin (Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2003) and because they spend a long time under water, they may accumulate various contaminants that are discharged into the water they inhabit; hence, they are sensitive to perturbations in ecosystems.

Given the global amphibian population decline, the current investigation was undertaken to understand the toxicity of sublethal concentrations of WSF of crude oil in the frog *P. mascariensis*, by determining its effects on the condition factor and hepatosomatic indices of this species.

## Materials and Methods

Frogs collection, acclimatization and feeding were carried out following the method of Nafagha (2014). A static renewal bioassay was carried out with triplicates for 12 weeks. Treatments consisted of six different concentrations (0mg/L, 0.3mg/L, 0.75mg/L, 1.5mg/L, 2.25mg/L and 3.0mg/L) of water-soluble fractions of crude oil. The toxicant used was Bonny light crude oil and the water-soluble fractions was prepared following the method of (Anderson, 1977) by adding 1 part of crude oil to 9 parts of fresh water. The water samples were analyzed as described by APHA (1988).

Weight and length of the organisms (frog samples) were determined using a weighing balance (Mettler PN163) and measuring board respectively. Total length (TL) was measured from the tip of the snout to the extended tip of the tail. At post bud formation, the legs were extended before the measurements were taken. The lengths were taken with measuring board to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body weight of individual frog was measured to the nearest 0.1 g after removing the adhered water and other particles from the surface of body.

The condition factor was calculated according to the following formula by Pauly (1984) given as:

$$K = \frac{BW \times 100}{L^3}$$

BW is the body weight in grams; L is its length in cm.

The frogs were sacrificed and the liver dissected out, drained with filter paper and weighed. The Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) was calculated using the formula of Htun-hun (1978) as:

$$HSI = \frac{LW \times 100}{BW}$$

LW is the liver weight in grams

Data was reported as means plus or minus ( $\pm$ ) the standard deviation of the mean. Microsoft Excel (2010) was used for compilation of means and standard deviation. Data on K and HIS were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and their correlation coefficient values obtained. Analysis of variance was carried out on the Physico-chemical of the water.

## Results and Discussion

Dissolved oxygen, pH, salinity, total alkalinity, and total hardness showed consistent variation with increase in crude oil concentration and time, however, these water quality parameters were within the

recommended limits for aquatic organisms. The results of the water parameters are shown in Table 1. These variations remained within tolerable ranges throughout the bioassay period. The ANOVA carried out showed that there

were no significant differences in the water samples in the control and that of treatment groups.

**Table 1: Result of Physico-chemical parameters with standard errors**

Conc.	Temp.	DO	pH	Alkalinity	Salinity	Total Hardness
0 mg/L	27.6 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.48 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	54.24 ± 0.85 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	1657 ± 13.87 <sup>a</sup>
0.3mg/L	27.6 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.48 ± 0.42 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	54.18 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	1670 ± 20.00 <sup>a</sup>
0.75mg/L	27.6 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.48 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	54.18 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>	1670 ± 21.37 <sup>a</sup>
1.5mg/L	27.6 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.48 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	54.10 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	1675 ± 22.84 <sup>a</sup>
2.25mg/L	27.6 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.40 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	54.10 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	1680 ± 20.82 <sup>a</sup>
3.0mg/L	27.6 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 ± 0.40 <sup>a</sup>	7.30 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	53.78 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	6.00 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	1680 ± 26.48 <sup>a</sup>

Mean with different superscript in the same column are not significantly different (P>0.05).

The results for condition factor (K) and hepatosomatic index (HIS) are as presented in Table 2. The highest value of K (1.482 ± 0.008) was obtained for frogs in the control while the least K of 1.216 ± 0.001 was for the frogs exposed to 3.0mg/l

of WSF of crude oil. The result indicated that there was a significant difference (p<0.05) between the condition factors of control treatment and that of the frogs exposed to other concentration.

**Table 2: K and HSI of frog *P. mascariensis* after 12 weeks exposure to WSF of crude oil**

Parameters	N	Concentrations					
		0mg/L	0.3mg/L	0.75mg/L	1.5mg/L	2.25mg/L	3.0mg/L
Body weight at 20	20	0.1 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.01
WK 1 (g)							
Body weight at 20	20	39.72 ± 0.01	38.36 ± 0.01	35.43±0.01	34.84±0.01	32.21 ± 0.01	31.17± 0.01
WK 12 (g)							
Weight Gain (g)	20	39.72 ± .04	38.36 ± .03	35.43± .02	34.84± .05	32.21 ± .03	31.17± .03
Length at WK 1	20	1.6 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.02
Length at WK 12	20	13.9 ± 0.3	13.9 ± 0.3	13.89 ± 0.3	13.7 ± 0.3	13.7 ± 0.3	13.7 ± 0.3
Length gain (cm)	20	12.3 ± 0.2	12.3 ± 0.2	12.29 ± 0.2	12.18 0.2	12.1 ± 0.1	12.1± 0.2
Liver weight at 20	20	0.83±0.02	0.49±0.02	0.38±0.02	0.33±0.02	0.22±0.02	0.22±0.01
WK 12 (g)							
K at W2 12	20	1.482± .001	1.431 ±.030	1.325±.028	1.28 ±.058	1.257 ±.031	1.216 ±.028
HIS at WK 12	20	2.084±.0012	1.289 ±.001	1.055±.002	0.976±.001	0.680 ±.001	0.640 ±.001

Also, the HSI for the *P. mascariensis* exposed to different concentrations of WSF of crude oil showed the same trend as the values for K. HIS values ranged from 2.084 to 0.639. The result indicated that there was a significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the HSI of control treatment and that of the frogs exposed to other concentrations. Table 2 shows the Summary for mean, standard deviation and standard error for the condition factor (K) and hepatosomatic index (HSI) for each concentration.

Assessing morphological parameters is one of the most straightforward methods to

study the effects of water contamination on fish because of the ease of recognition and examination when compared with other types of biomarkers (Sun *et al.*, 2009). According to Bagenel, (1978), the condition factor indicates the well-being of the fish. Exposure of the frogs *P. mascariensis*, to different levels of WSF of crude oil concentration showed a gradual decrease in the value of K and HSI as the concentration of crude oil increased, which were significant. However, nearly all the specimens in the study were observed to be in good condition, as the values were higher than one (Charles-Barnham and

Baxter, 2003). A decrease in either the condition factor or HSI can also signal the deleterious effect of a stressor.

In the research of Ariweriokuma et al., (2011), the sublethal effects of cypermethrin exposure to *Clarias gariepinus* were studied. The results obtained from that study indicated that no significant differences were recorded for the condition factor in the values of the control group and the treatment groups. However, HSI was significantly reduced when compared to the controls. These results are in agreement with the results obtained in this study. These findings were similar to those of Kicheniuk and Khan (1987) and Kori-Siakpere (2000), who noted that exposure of fish to WSFs of crude oil, resulted in reduced feeding and lower body weights which were reflected in a change in the condition factor. Exposure of the frogs to water-soluble fractions of crude oil may have resulted in reduced food intake and thus lower body weight and a corresponding reduced liver weight.

The findings of this study is also in agreement with those of Sindhe and Kulkarni (2004) in which the HSI values reduced on exposure of freshwater fish *Notopterus notopterus* to heavy metals at sublethal concentrations.. HSI values have

also been reported to have decreased in the fish exposed to high concentrations of cadmium and zinc (Egunjobi, 2010). The reduction of HSI values suggested the mobilization of the liver reserves toward the metabolic requirements of the fish exposed. Hepatosomatic index is the main indicator of metabolic activity in animals. Reduction in HSI in the presence of pollutants has been suggested to be caused by the mobilization of the liver reserves toward the metabolic requirements of the exposure (Barton et al., 2002); hence a logical link then exists between this depletion of energy reserves and potential health problems for fish. A decrease in condition factor and HSI is considered a reflection of depletion in energy reserves (Goede and Barton, 1990; Barton et al., 2002) because these indices are positively related to total muscle and liver energy content (Stolyar et al., 2008).

Çiftçi, et al., (2015) studied the effects of heavy metals on K and HSI of *Oreochromis niloticus*, after exposing the fish at sublethal levels. A significant decrease in K and HSI was observed in the treatment groups when compared with control at the end of experiment. Barton et al., (2002), in their study observed a significant and negative correlation between total mercury in whole yellow

perch and condition. Those fish with higher mercury concentrations tended to have lower condition scores. This observed body condition changes according to Barton *et al.*, (2002) was in rapid response to environmental perturbation and toxic chemical exposure.

This study affirmed that there is a variation in condition factor and hepatosomatic index of frogs exposed to crude oil.

### Conclusion

The indices measured in the present study are useful for monitoring the long-term effects of frogs. The current study indicates that crude oil contamination affected the frogs negatively. Many

pollutants exist in the aquatic environment at sublethal levels for short or long-time periods, and may not be noticed because they do not cause immediate frog mortality. However, the consequences of such effects are morphological and physiological, causing illness and reducing fitness for life. Therefore, the simple fact that sublethal concentration is considered safe because it does not kill any frogs does not mean that it can be used indiscriminately because contaminant effects can weaken frogs rendering them more susceptible to mortality from other causes. It is therefore plausible that the observed effects are contributing to the decline of frog populations in the area.

### References

APHA, (1988). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water (16th Ed.), American Public Health Association, Washington DC. 1268pp.

Ariweriokuma S.V., Akinrotimi, O.A and Gabriel, U.U. (2011). Effects of Cypermethrin On Condition Factor and Organosomatic Indices of *Clarias gariepinus*. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research*. 11: (2):67-72.

Bagenal, T.B. (1978). Aspects of fish fecundity. In: Gerking, S.D. (Eds). *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*

*Production*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. 75-101.

Bagenal, T.B. and Tesch, F.W. (1978). Age and Growth. In: Bagenal, T. ed. *Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters*. 3rd ed. IBP Handbook No. 3, Oxford, Blackwell Science Publications. 101-136.

Barton, B.A., Morgan, J. D., and Vijayan, M.M. (2002). Physiological condition-related indicators of environmental stress in fish. In S. M. Adams (Ed.), *Biological indicators of aquatic ecosystem stress* Bethesda: American Fisheries Society. 111–148.

- Blaustein, A.R. Romantic J.M. and Kiese, B.J. (2003). Ultraviolet radiation, toxic chemicals and amphibian population declines, *Diversity and distributions* 9: 123-140.
- Carey C. and Bryant, C.J. (1998). Possible interrelations among environmental contaminant and toxicants, amphibians development and decline of amphibian populations, *Environ, health prospect* 103: 13-17.
- Charles-Barnham P.S.M. and Baxter, A. (2003). Condition Factor, K, for Salmonid Fish. Fisheries note. State of Victoria, Department of Primary Industries.1-3.
- Çiftçi, N., Özcan A, Karayakar, F., Cicik, B and Erdem.C. (2015). Effects of Zinc and Cadmium on Condition Factor, Hepatosomatic and Gonadosomatic Index of *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin* 24: 1-4.
- Corn, P.S. Stolzenburg, W. and Bury, R.B. (1989). Acid precipitation studies in Colorado and Wyoming: interim report of surveys of montane amphibians and water chemistry". *U.S fish and wildlife service biological report*. 80: 26- 40.
- Egunjobi, O.J. (2010). Growth performance and Hepatosomatic Index and Yield of *Clarias gariepinus* Fed Industrial Noodles Waste Cased Diet. BSc. Project of Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. 41pp.
- Ekweozor, I. K. E. (1989). A review of the effects of oil pollution in West African environment, *Discovery and Innovation* 3: 27-37.
- F.E.P.A (1999). Guidelines and standards for environmental pollution control in Nigeria. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency. 238pp.
- Geode, R.W. and Barton, B.A. (1990). Organism indices and an autopsy-based assessment as indicators of health and condition of fish. In: Adams, S. A.(Eds). Biological indicators of stress. Bethesda, Maryland. America fisheries society. 93-108.
- Htun-han, M. (1978). The reproductive biology of the dab *Limanda limanda* (L) in the North Sea; gonadosomatic Index; Hepatosomatic Index and condition factor. *J. Fish Biol.* 13: 369-378.
- Jennings, M.R. and Hayes, M.P. (1985). Pre-1900 overharvest of California [USA] red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora draytonii*): The inducement for bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) introduction, *Herpetologica*. 41:94-103.
- Kicheniuk, J.W. and Khan R.A. (1987). Effect of petroleum hydrocarbons on Atlantic cod *Gardus* following chronic exposure. *Can. J. Zool.* 65:490-494.
- Kori-Siakpere, O. (2000). Petroleum induced alterations in the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Teugels 1984): II-Growth factors, *Nig. J. Sc. Env.* 2:87-92.
- Lefcort, H.and Blaustein, A.R. (1995). Disease, predation avoidance and vulnerability to predation in tadpoles, *Oikos*. 74: 469-474.



- Loumbourdis, N.S. and Vogiatzis, A.K. (2002). Impact of cadmium on liver pigmentary system of the frog *Rana ridibunda*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 53: 52-58
- Nebeker, A.V., Schuytema, G.S., and Ott, S.L., (1995). Effect of cadmium on growth and bioaccumulation in the Northwestern salamander *Ambystoma gracile*. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 29: 492-499.
- Nafagha, M.O., Sikoki, F. D and Georgewill, O. A. (2014). Histopathological Alterations of *Ptychadena mascariensis* Exposed to Sub Lethal Concentrations of Crude Oil. *Biological and Chemical Research.*3:151-159.
- Nafagha, O.M. (2007). *Effects of water soluble fractions of crude oil on tadpoles of Bufo macalatus and Ptychadena mascariensis*. Msc. thesis of the Rivers state university of science and technology.82 pp.
- Nafagha, O. M., (1999.) *A survey of amphibian community (anura) of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria*, BSc project of University of Benin.48 pp.
- Sessions, S.K. and Ruth, S.B. (1990). Explanation for naturally occurring supernumerary limbs in amphibians, *The journal of experimental zoology Photochem. Photobiol.* 61: 650-655.
- Sindhe V. R. and Kulkarni, R.S. (2004). Gonadosomatic and hepatosomatic indices of the freshwater fish *Notopterus notopterus* (Pallas) in response to some heavy metal exposure. *J Environ Biol.*25(3):365-368.
- Sun, P. L., Hawkins, W. E., Overstreet, R. M. and Brown-Peterson, N. J. (2009). Morphological deformities as biomarkers in fish from contaminated rivers in Taiwan, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.*6(8):2307–2331.
- Papadimitriou, E.A. and Loumbourdis, N.S. (2003) Copper kinetics and hepatic metallothionein levels in the frog *Rana ridibunda*, after exposure to CuCl<sub>2</sub>. *Bio. Metals*, 16: 271-277.
- Pauly, D. (1984). *Fish Population Dynamics in Tropical Waters: Manual for use with Programmable Calculators*. ICLARM Studies and Revision. 8:325pp.
- Stolyar, O.B., Loumbourdis, N.N., Falfushinska, H.I., and Romanchuk, L.D. (2008). Comparison of Metal Bioavailability in Frogs from Urban and Rural Sites of Western Ukraine. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 54:107-113.